



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7611/2023**

SHRI RAMESH KUMAR & ORS. Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Chandra Kant Kumar, Advocate**
with petitioner s in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) Respondent

Through: **Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State**
with **SI Shubham Chaudhry PS H.N.**
Din, New Delhi and SI Nagendra
Kumar Anti Narcotics Squad, South
East.
Mr. J.P. Singh, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

19.03.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 63/2021 registered under Sections 354/509/34 IPC at Police Station Hazrat Nizamuddin, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, on 05.03.2021, the petitioners misbehaved with the complainant and also hurled abuses causing her distress.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim in the present case.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Settlement Deed dated 15.03.2024, a copy of which has been handed over today in the Court which is placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.
5. The petitioners and respondent No2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./ SI Shubham Chaudhry PS H.N. Din, New Delhi who is present in the Court.
6. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned compromise out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.
8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited by each of the petitioners with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority within a period of four weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the Delhi State Legal Services Authority for providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.
9. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the I.O. as well



as in Court.

10. A copy of this order be communicated to the Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority for intimation.

11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

12. In case receipt of cost is not filed within four weeks, the matter be placed before the Court.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 19, 2024/rd