



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 536/2024**

M/S JSP PROJECTS PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Suvir Sharma, Ms. Anushka Nayar, Mr. Shiv Azad Sharma, Mr. Syed Neesam Asghar & Mr. Charchit Talwar, Advocates.

versus

**CHIEF ENGINEER, NATIONAL
HIGHWAYS DIVISION, UP PWD**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Kumar & Mr. Chandra Shekhar, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

19.07.2024

I.A. 33623/2024 (for exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 536/2024

1. This petition has been filed, under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, seeking extension of the mandate of the learned Arbitral Tribunal, which is in *seisin* of disputes between the parties under a Contract Agreement dated 31.03.2017.

3. The mandate of the learned tribunal was last extended by an order



dated 19.01.2024 in O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 282/2023 for a period of six months from 19.01.2024. I am informed that the proceedings are at the stage of final hearing.

4. Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent, appears on advance notice, and states that the respondent has no objection to the relief sought.

5. Even though the prayer clause in the petition seeks an extension of only six months, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the mandate of the learned Arbitral Tribunal is extended for a period of one year from today.

6. The petition is allowed and stands disposed of in these terms.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JULY 19, 2024

‘pv’/