



\$~8

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 7597/2023

VINAY KUMAR VERMA AND ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State
with SI Amit Beriwal, P.S. Vivek
Vihar.

Ms. Vijay Rani, Advocate for
respondent No.2 alongwith
respondent No.2 in person (Both
through V.C.)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

13.05.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 128/2019 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Vivek Vihar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner No. 2 is sister-in-law of the complainant.
3. Mr. Singh, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi on 28.09.2021. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 01.12.2022 passed by the Family Court, Faridabad in HMA No. 241/2022. In terms of the agreement, petitioner No.1 has paid a sum of Rs.5,50,000/-, as full and final settlement, to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc.

5. Respondent No.2, who has joined the proceedings through V.C., has been identified by her counsel as well as by I.O./SI Amit Beriwal, P.S. Vivek Vihar. She states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

6. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

7. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 13, 2024

ga