



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 15252/2022**

RAHUL KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Mishra,
Mr. Aditya and Ms. Megha Gupta,
Advocates

Versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajjay Aroraa, Advocate with
Mr. Kapil Dutta, Mr. Vansh Lutha
and Ms. Simran Arora, Advocates.
Mr. Kirti Uppal, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Shekhar, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

%

28.02.2024

1. Status report filed by MCD is taken on record.
2. Mr. Kirti Uppal, Senior Advocate appearing for the owner of premises states that the owner shall apply for regularisation under Appendix (Q) of the Building Bye -laws.
3. Needless, to state, that if and when such an application is filed, the same shall be decided in accordance with law. This Court clarifies that it has not commented on the merits of the regularisation application.



4. In view of the aforesaid statement, no further orders are called for in this writ petition. Accordingly, the present writ petition is closed.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

FEBRUARY 28, 2024/hp