



\$~38 & 41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5251/2019**

ANIL KUMAR CHAUDHARYPetitioner
Through: Mr. H.S. Phoolka, Sr.
Advocate with Ms. Shilpa
Dewan & Ms. Surpreet
Kaur, Advocates.

versus

STATE & ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh,
APP for the State.
SI Manoj Kumar (P.S.
AEKC Crime Branch).
Mr. Amit Ahlawat,
Advocate.

+ **CRL.M.C. 6653/2019**
STATE

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh,
APP for the State.
SI Manoj Kumar (P.S.
AEKC Crime Branch).
Mr. Amit Ahlawat,
Advocate.

versus

ASHOK KR. SINGHRespondent
Through: Mr. H.S. Phoolka, Sr.
Advocate with Ms. Shilpa
Dewan & Ms. Surpreet
Kaur, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
26.09.2024

%



1. The present petitions are filed challenging the order dated 09.09.2019 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge ('ASJ'), South District, Saket Courts, New Delhi whereby Respondent No. 2 in CRL.M.C. 5251/2019 (hereafter 'Respondent No. 2') was enlarged on bail in FIR No. 227/2019.
2. The FIR in the present case was registered on a complaint given by the petitioner, Anil Kumar alleging that on 07.08.2019 at about 10:30 pm when the petitioner was on his way home from his office and had reached in front of the Hudco Place, four accused persons in their Honda City car chased the petitioner's car, and allegedly forcibly stopped his car. It is alleged that thereafter, the accused persons came out of their car, and allegedly started assaulting the petitioner with iron rod, paper cutter, and with fists and kicks. It is alleged that the accused persons gave beatings to the petitioner on his body and legs by iron rod. It is alleged that the petitioner raised an alarm, and two patrolling police personnel came near the spot. It is alleged that upon seeing the police, the accused persons started running away, however, two accused persons namely Lalit and Amarjeet Singh were caught red handed at the spot.
3. The learned ASJ *vide* order dated 09.09.2019 enlarged Respondent No. 2 on bail. It was noted that the argument that Respondent No. 2 hatched a conspiracy to cause grievous hurt to the petitioner was meritless. The learned ASJ, noting that Respondent No. 2 had no previous criminal involvement, and without commenting on the merits of the case, admitted Respondent No. 2 on bail *vide* order dated 09.09.2019.
4. It is pointed out that Respondent No. 2 has already been discharged.
5. In view of the above, the present petitions have become



infructuous and are accordingly disposed of.

6. A copy of the order be placed in both the matters.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2024

'Aman'