



\$~87

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9641/2024 & CM APPL. 39628/2024**

SMT. RENUKA JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.S.D.Ansari, Adv.

versus

B.S.E.S YAMUNA POWER LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Counsel (*appearance not given*).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

16.07.2024

CM APPL. 39629/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 9641/2024 & CM APPL. 39628/2024

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the remedy which is available to him is not efficacious, therefore, he has approached this Court directly under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.
2. Having considered the nature of the grievance involved in the instant petition and in view of the fact that there is already a grievance redressal mechanism in place in view of the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003, the Court is not inclined to entertain the instant writ petition, leaving it open to the petitioner to resort to the aforesaid remedy.
4. Leaving all rights and contentions open, the instant petition along with pending application stands disposed of.



5. If the petitioner resorts to the alternate mechanism, let the concerned authority to deal with the petitioner's request as expeditiously as possible.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 16, 2024/MJ