



\$~74 & 75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13347/2023**

JANSEWA GRIHA NIRMAN DEVELOPERS AND BUILDERS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shreeyash U Lalit, Ms. Runjhun Garg, Mr. Krishnagopal Abhay, Mr. Himanshu Vats and Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

MR. VIVEK BAPURAO DESHMUKH

..... Respondent

Through:

+ **W.P.(C) 13722/2023**

JANSEWA GRIHA NIRMAN DEVELOPERS AND BUILDERS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shreeyash U Lalit, Ms. Runjhun Garg, Mr. Krishnagopal Abhay, Mr. Himanshu Vats and Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

SMT. KALPANA C. CHAFALE

..... Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

16.01.2024

%

1. When it was put to Mr. Shreeyash U Lalit, learned Counsel for the



Petitioner, as to whether the present writ petitions would be one of a petition under Article 226 of Article 227 of the Constitution of the India, Mr. Lalit in all fairness states that since the order of the NCDRC which is under challenge is a Tribunal, the petition should be Civil Miscellaneous Main and not the Civil Writ Petition. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petitions with liberty to file appropriate petition in accordance with the High Court Rules.

2. Permission and liberty, as prayed for, is granted.
3. The writ petitions are disposed of as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JANUARY 16, 2024

hsk