



\$~128

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 9528/2024, CM APPL. 39067/2024 (stay) & CM**
APPL. 39070/2024 (lengthy synopsis)
SHAFIQ AHMEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kshitij Mudgal and Mr.
Akshay Srivastava, Advs.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, ASC with
Ms. Arshya Singh, Mr. Yash
Upadhyay and Mr. Siddhant
Dutt, Advs. for R-1 & 6.
Mr. Manish Srivastava, Mr.
Moksh Arora and Mr. Santosh
Ramdurg, Advs. for R-5.
Ms. Beenashaw N. Soni, SC
with Ms. Ann Joseph, Adv. for
MCD.
SI Shri Narayan and ASI
Kailash, PS: Hauz Qazi, Delhi.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER
18.07.2024

%

1. The pleadings would indicate that the impugned action which is sought to be challenged by the petitioner is in pursuance of the directions passed by the Division Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 9133/2023.

2. The petitioner appears to have some grievance only with respect to the one floor, however, the Court, in W.P.(C) 9133/2023, has found that the entire building has been constructed unauthorizedly and



illegally.

3. In view of the aforesaid, this Court does not deem it appropriate to entertain the instant writ petition and the same is accordingly dismissed. Pending applications are also disposed of.

4. The petitioner, however, shall be at liberty to take appropriate recourse in accordance with law.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 18, 2024/p