



\$~90

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5332/2024**

NITIN CHOPRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prateek Jindal, Ms. Simran Bhatti, Mr. R.D. Singh and Ms. Jyoti Sharma, Advocates.

versus

GEETA VOHRA

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **15.07.2024**

CRL.M.A. 20398/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 5332/2024, CRL.M.A. 20399/2024

1. The present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed by the Petitioner challenging the Order dated 05.06.2024 passed by the Ld. Judge, South-West District, Saket Courts, Delhi.
2. The portion of the Impugned Order dated 05.06.2024 passed at 01:00 PM shows that the Petitioner has paid a sum of Rs.1,25,000/- due and payable by the Petitioner which is the amount of five months' maintenance.
3. As far as other portion of the Impugned Order dated 05.06.2024 is concerned by which directions have been issued for the execution of maintenance under Section 125(3) Cr.P.C, this Court is of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the said Order. This Court does not find any reason to



interfere with the Impugned Order.

4. With these observations, the petition is disposed of, along with pending application(s), if any. It is always open for the Petitioner to challenge the Orders of maintenance, if so advised, before the competent court in accordance with law.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JULY 15, 2024

S. Zakir