



\$~14

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 141/2024 & CM No.38986/2024**

**MR NAGENDRAN KANDASAMY & ORS. ....Appellants**

Through: Mr. Saurav Agrawal, Mr. Anukrit Gupta, Mr. Anirudh Suresh, Mr. Arbaaz Hussain, Mr. Anshuman Choudhary, Ms. Charu Jain and Mr. Santveer Nagar, Advocates.

versus

**NEOSKY INDIA LIMITED & ORS. ....Respondents**

Through: Mr. Tanmaya Mehta, Mr. Karan Nagrath, Ms. Nupur Kumar, Ms. Rashmi Gogia, Ms. Niharika Nagrath, Mr. Ambuj Tiwari and Ms. Niharika Tanwar, Advocates for R-1&2.

**CORAM:  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

**ORDER  
25.07.2024**

%

**[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]**

1. Since this appeal is directed against the ad interim order dated 31.05.2024, learned counsel for the parties say that as and when a final judgment and order is passed, steps will be taken by the aggrieved party to agitate their grievance before the appropriate forum.
2. This submission is made by learned counsel for the parties having regard to the fact that the matter is listed before the learned Single Judge on 08.08.2024.

FAO(OS) (COMM) 141/2024

page 1 of 2



3. The appeal is disposed of with a request to the learned Single Judge to rule on the pending Section 9 application at the earliest.
4. The party aggrieved by the impugned order will have the liberty to take steps, *albeit*, in accordance with the law.

**RAJIV SHAKDHER, J**

**AMIT BANSAL, J**

**JULY 25, 2024/mk**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*

FAO(OS) (COMM) 141/2024

page 2 of 2