



2024:DHC:5483



\$~45

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 25.07.2024

+ W.P.(CRL) 2030/2024

SUNIL ALIAS SONU AND OTHERS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Prabhanjan Jha and Mr.Mahesh
Sharma, Advocates with petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR. Respondents

Through: Ms.Rupali Badhopadhyaya, ASC (Crl.)
for State with SI Bhagwan Sahay, P.S.
Vijay Vihar.
Ms.Sapna Sharma, Ms.Archana
Kumari and Ms.Kumari Swetha,
Advocates with respondent No.2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

%

J U D G M E N T**ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)****CRL.M.A. 19766/2024**

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 2030/2024

1. Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 0584/2021, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Vijay Vihar and



proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. Issue notice. Learned ASC for the State and learned counsel for respondent No.2 along with respondent No. 2 in person appear on advance notice and accept notice.

3. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu rites and ceremonies on 15.03.2021. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 started living separately. On complaint of respondent No. 2, present FIR was registered on 10.11.2021.

4. The disputes are stated to have been amicably settled between the parties in terms of the Settlement dated 27.09.2023. The marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 has been dissolved by decree of divorce dated 30.04.2024, by way of mutual consent under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act.

5. Balance amount of Rs.1,00,000/- has been paid to respondent No.2 today through DD No.301283 dated 06.07.2024 drawn on State Bank of India, New Delhi Main Branch in favour of respondent No.2.

6. Learned ASC for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

7. Petitioners and respondent No. 2 are present in person and have been identified by SI Bhagwan Sahay, P.S.: Vijay Vihar. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and



2024 :DHC :5483



she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the case pending. Continuation of proceedings would be nothing but an abuse of the process of Court. Consequently, FIR No. 0584/2021, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Vijay Vihar and the proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.

JULY 25, 2024/v