



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9210/2024**

SEEMA ALAM

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ishan Sanghvi, Ms. Poorvashi
Kalra, Advocates.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Varun Chandiok, Mr. Alok
Kumar, Ms. Anubhi Goyal,
Advocates for MCD.
Mr. Udyan Jain, Mr. Amit Sherawat,
Mr. Raj Surana, Mr. Ranjan Mishra,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

25.07.2024

1. The instant petition has been filed praying for the following relief:-

“(A) It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon’ble may be pleased to issue a Writ in the nature of MANDAMUS and/ or any other appropriate Writ/ Order/ Direction of like nature in favour of the Petitioner and against the Respondents directing to initiate action against the illegal and unauthorised construction carried out in the property bearing G-50/10, 40 Futa Road, Shaheen Bagh, Abul Fazal Phase-II, Okhla, New Delhi - 110025.”

2. The status report placed on record by the respondent-Corporation indicates that it has taken appropriate action in accordance with law. The respondent-Corporation has also undertaken to take the issue to its logical



end by way of invoking various provisions of the relevant Act.

3. The petitioner, therefore, submits that the respondent-Corporation be directed to adhere to the stand taken in the status report.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the instant petition, at this stage, is disposed of. The respondent-Corporation is directed to take action strictly in accordance with law. Liberty is also granted to the petitioner to re-agitate or to take further remedial measures in case she feels that the respondent-Corporation is not responsive to her grievance.

5. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 25, 2024/KG