



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 2868/2024 & CM APPL. 37735-37737/2024**

PIYUSH CHATURVEDIPetitioner
Through: **Mr. Aditya Raghav Bundela & Mr.**
Bikram Singh Patel, Advocates

versus

MRS. BIMAL SEHGALRespondent
Through: **None**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **09.07.2024**

CM APPL. 37736/2024

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

CM(M) 2868/2024 & CM APPL. 37735 & 37737/2024

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India and the petitioner has challenged impugned order dated 28.05.2024.
2. Petitioner happens to be judgment-debtor and his sole grievance is to the effect that without hearing him, the learned Trial Court has directed the attachment of his savings bank account for the purpose of satisfaction of the decree in question.
3. Mr. Aditya Raghav Bundela, learned counsel for the petitioner has, at the very outset, stated that matter has been amicably settled between the parties and, therefore, petitioner is no longer desirous of continuing with the present petition. He, therefore, prays that petition may be dismissed as withdrawn.
4. Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JULY 9, 2024/dr