



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 3295/2021**
PROSECUTRIX THROUGH MOTHERPetitioner
Through: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate
(through V C)

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT DELHI) & ANR.Respondent
Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for
State with SI Mahendra Patel
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

% **ORDER**
16.12.2024

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 (2) Cr. P.C. seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent no. 2 *vide* order dated 21.09.2021.
2. The respondent no. 2 is the paternal aunt of the victim. She was granted anticipatory bail by this court in connection with FIR No. 492/2020, on the premise that she is not a resident of Delhi. The respondent no.2 was also kept in column No. 12 of the chargesheet.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the only concern of the petitioner is that the respondent no. 2 should not visit the place where the child victim or the prosecutrix resides.
4. Learned counsel appearing respondent no. 2 submits that respondent



no. 2 is residing in Uttar Pradesh whereas the prosecutrix is resident of Delhi, therefore, there is no occasion for respondent no.2 to visit the residence of child victim or the prosecutrix at Delhi.

5. It is also pointed out by the learned APP that the victim as well as the mother of the victim have already been examined.

6. The order of this Court *vide* which the anticipatory bail was granted to respondent no. 2 is of 21.09.2021 and no complaint filed by the petitioner is on record suggesting any violation of the condition subject to which the anticipatory bail was granted.

7. In view of the above, this Court does not find any merit in the petition.

8. The petition stands dismissed.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 16, 2024

‘rs’