



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9174/2024 & CM APPL. 37520/2024

RAM DEV YADAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rajinder Kumar, Advocate.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Chetanya Singh, ASC Mr.Chetan Sharma, Ms.Rekha Shukla and Ms.Ria Sachthey, Advocates.

Mr.Divyam Nandrajog, PC and Ms.Surbhi Soni, Advocate for R4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

08.07.2024

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, praying as under: -

“A. Direct the respondents not to 'dispossess or obstruct or disturb the Petitioners in carrying on his trade from his site i.e. In Front of Shop No.25, Sarojini Nagar Market, Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi-110023 of section 3(3) of the street vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act 2014 and;

B. Direct the Respondents/Town Vending Committee to consider the representation dated 03.06.2024 of the Petitioner and conduct the survey of the Petitioner within time bound manner and to issue certificate of vending in favour of the Petitioner as per the provisions of the Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act 2014, The Delhi Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Rules, 2017 and The Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Scheme 2019.”

2. The petitioner claims that he was selling permissible goods from a vending site described as “In front of Shop No.25, Sarojini Nagar Market, Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi-110023”. He alleges that the officials of respondent no.2, New Delhi Municipal Council (NDMC) are not permitting



the petitioner to vend at the given site and have removed him from time to time.

3. According to the NDMC, the petitioner is not permitted to vend at the said site. It is stated that the petitioner's name does not feature in the list of 628 unauthorised vendors who were operating at the relevant time and are covered by protective orders passed by this Court.

4. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that there are a large number of street vendors who claim that they are entitled to vend from sites located in Sarojini Nagar, however, the capacity of the market is insufficient to support such a large number of vendors.

5. This Court is informed that a survey is in the process of being conducted as regards the vendors in the area. In view thereof, we dispose of this petition by directing that the petitioner shall also be entitled to participate in the survey and furnish all documents or material to establish that he has been carrying on vending activities. The Town Vending Committee (TVC) shall consider the material and documents as furnished by the petitioner for taking an informed decision.

6. We also direct that the concerned TVC shall consider the petitioner's representation dated 03.06.2024, a copy of which is annexed to this petition.

7. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending application stands disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

SACHIN DATTA, J

JULY 08, 2024

M

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)