



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 207/2024 & CM APPL. 37592-37593/2024**

SONICA SINGHI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr Anunaya Mehta and Ms Satya
Jha, Advocates

versus

TANUJ DHAWAN

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

08.07.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 207/2024 & CM APPL. 37592-37593/2024

1. After some arguments, Mr Anunaya Mehta, Advocate, who appears on behalf of appellant, on instructions from the appellant who is physically present in Court, says that for the moment, he does not wish to press the appeal.
2. Mr Mehta, on instructions, further says that the present arrangement can go on for a while and if there is some problem, he would approach the concerned Family Court.
3. The statement of Mr Mehta is taken on record.
4. The appeal is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. Consequently, pending applications shall stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

JULY 8, 2024/PB

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)