



\$~68

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 5127/2024 and CRL.M.A. 19556/2024

SATNAM AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Umashankar Vats and Mr. Kishan Pandey, Advocates along with Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State alongwith SI Bharat Singh, PS Uttam Nagar.

Mr. Rishabh Robinson, Advocate for R2 along with R2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

08.07.2024

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 180/2021 registered under Sections 498A/406/323/34 IPC at P.S. Uttam Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 and 3 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their dispute on 02.09.2023 vide Settlement Deed before Mediation Centre, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi. It is stated that the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated



29.04.2024 in HMA No.1213/2024. It is further submitted that out of the settled amount, remaining balance amount of Rs.1,50,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.000834 dated 01.07.2024 drawn on Utkarsh Small Finance Bank, Punjabi Bagh, Delhi. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Settlement Deed out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JULY 8, 2024/DV