



* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5124/2024

PRIYADARSHAN TIWARI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saroj Kumar Singh,
Advocate along with
Petitioner-in-Person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Chahar, APP
for the State.

Mr. Kumar Pradyuman,
Advocate for R-2
alongwith R-2 (Through
V.C.).

Inspector Rohit (P.S.
Special Staff North).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

12.09.2024

%

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 84/2020 dated 20.10.2020, for offences under Sections 419/420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('**IPC**'), registered at Police Station Maurice Nagar, including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom. The chargesheet is not filed in the present case.

2. The present FIR was registered on a complaint filed by Respondent No. 2 alleging that some unknown persons were running an organized racket of cheating wherein the illegally downloaded study material prepared by Respondent No. 2, who is a renowned history teacher, was being sold without his



permission. It was alleged that his online courses were being sold for ₹25,000/-.

3. During investigation, the UPI ID used in the commission of the offence was traced to one Rana Pratap Singh. The said person disclosed the involvement of the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have resolved the disputes and they wish to live their lives peacefully in the future.

5. The present petition is filed on the ground that the matter is amicably settled between the parties by way of Compromise Deed dated 07.03.2024, with the intervention of well-wishers, on their own free will, without any fear, force, coercion, or undue influence.

6. The petitioner is present in person in Court whereas Respondent No.2 appears through video conferencing. The parties have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

7. Respondent No.2, on being asked, states that he does not wish to pursue any proceeding arising out of the present FIR.

8. He submits that he has no remaining grievance against the petitioner and he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. Offences under Sections 419/420 of the IPC are compoundable in nature.

10. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offence.

11. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court.



12. In view of the above, FIR No. 84/2020 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.
13. The present petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 12, 2024

'Aman'