



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ C.R.P. 167/2022 & CM APPL. 43502/2022

PURSHOTTAM KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Malviya and Ms. Usha,
Advocates along with Petitioner in
person.

versus

KRISHNA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Bhupesh Saini, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

28.11.2024

%

1. The Revision Petition under *Section 115* of the *Civil Procedure Code, 1908* has been filed against the judgment dated 02.09.2022 in CS No.613240/2016 whereby the application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC filed by the Revisionist has been allowed but in addition directions have been given to the Revisionist to deposit Rs.14 lakhs in the Court.
2. Learned counsel along with the Revisionist submits that the receipt of Rs.14 lakhs is disputed. Moreover, the Revisionist is not in a position to deposit the entire amount and would result in unwarranted hardship which may enable him even in contesting the suit on merits.
3. **Submissions Heard.**
4. Considering the totality of facts and circumstances, the deposit amount is reduced to Rs.7 lakhs to be deposited within four weeks in



the Court.

5. Revision Petition is accordingly disposed of.
6. Considering that it is an old case, the learned Trial Court shall make an endeavour to expedite the matter.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2024

rk