



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4967/2024 & CRL.M.A. 18997/2024**

RAHUL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.P.S. Bhati, Ms Rubena Khan,
Ms Shifa Khan and Ms. Shivani,
Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

**THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT
OF DELHI) & ANR**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Abhay Bhati PS Madhu
Vihar, Delhi.
Mr. B.K. Mishra, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

03.07.2024

1. The present proceedings are instituted seeking quashing of FIR No. 285/2017 registered under Sections 356/379/411 IPC at Police Station Madhu Vihar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, petitioner snatched respondent No.2's mobile while she was walking on the road.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Compromise Deed dated 16.02.2024, a



copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the snatched mobile was recovered and seized by police at the spot and that to compensate respondent No.2, an amount of Rs.50,000/- has been paid by petitioner to her.

Learned APP for the State, on instruction, states that the seized mobile phone would be returned to respondent No.2 within a period of two days from today.

6. The petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as the I.O./ SI Abhay Bhati PS Madhu Vihar, Delhi.

7. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned compromise out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority (Account No.18580110053263, UCO Bank, Branch Rouse Avenue, IFSC: UCBA0003364) within a period of two weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the Delhi State Legal Services Authority for



providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.

10. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the I.O. In case proof is not filed within stipulated period, the IO shall be at liberty to move appropriate application.

11. A copy of this order be communicated to the Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority for intimation.

12. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JULY 3, 2024/rd