



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4962/2024 & CRL. M.A.18979/2024

DINESH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate for
petitioners with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Sadhna PS Mangolpuri,
Delhi.

Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **03.07.2024**

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 2532/2014 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Mangolpuri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 9 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim. It is further submitted that charge-sheet has been filed under the aforesaid sections.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Mediation Centre, Rohini Court, Delhi on 15.11.2022, a copy whereof has been placed on record. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 04.05.2024 passed by the Family Court, North-



West, Rohini Courts, Delhi. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No.

5. It is further submitted that out of the settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.1,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing number 336713 drawn on Bank of Baroda, Mianwali Nagar, New Delhi, 110087, a photocopy of which has been placed on record.

6. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./ SI Sadhna PS Mangolpuri, Delhi. Respondent No.2, who is also present in Court, has been identified by the I.O.

7. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with the petitioners of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners subject to encashment of the demand draft of Rs.1,00,000/- handed over to her today.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9. The parties shall remain bound by the statement made in Court today.

10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to encashment of aforesaid demand draft of Rs.1 lac.

11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JULY 3, 2024/rd