



\$~18 & 19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8809/2024 & CM APPL. 35829/2024 –Ex.

RAM BADAN AND ORS. Petitioners

Through Mohammad Usman Siddiqui, Ms Aisha Siddiqui, Mr. B.S. Randhawa and Ms Sakeena Quidwai, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents

Through Ms. Jyotsna Bhuchar, Adv.
(Mob. 9599217417)

+ W.P.(C) 8811/2024 & CM APPL. 35832/2024

MR RAM KISHAN AND ORS Petitioners

Through Mohammad Usman Siddiqui, Ms Aisha Siddiqui, Mr. B.S. Randhawa and Ms Sakeena Quidwai, Advs.

versus

THE UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents

Through Mr Nishant Gautam, Ms Sanjana Mehrotra and Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advs. for R-1.
Mr. Farman Ali and Ms. Usha Tamnal, Advs. for BSF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

%

01.07.2024

1. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw these petitions with liberty to approach the respondents by way of a comprehensive representation seeking benefits under the



MACP scheme, in accordance with the decision of the Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 2016 of 2020 titled “*Union of India & Ors. vs. M.V. Mohanan Nair*”.

2. In the light of the aforesaid, the writ petitions alongwith pending applications are dismissed as not pressed with liberty, as prayed for. It is further directed that in case representation/representations are made by the petitioners within six weeks from today, the same will be decided by the respondents within twelve weeks from the date of receipt thereof, by passing a reasoned and speaking order.
3. Needless to state, in case the petitioners are aggrieved by any order passed by the respondents, it will be open for them to seek legal recourse, as permissible in law.

REKHA PALLI, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

JULY 1, 2024

acm