



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 6665/2023**

PRAVEEN GOYAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sarthak Tomar, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with W/SI Aakansha, P.S. Swaroop
Nagar.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.01.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 353/2017 registered under Sections 336/506 IPC at Police Station Swaroop Nagar, Delhi on the ground that parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, on 30.11.2017 at about 8 pm, when complainant was sitting in his shop, petitioner came there and pointed a pistol on him and demanded money owed to him by the complainant.
3. Mr. Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused person and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim in the present case.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that parties are known to



each other being in the same business and present FIR was registered on a trivial issue which with the intervention of common friends and respectable members of the society, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Compromise Deed dated 12.07.2023, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner. In investigation, no pistol was recovered.

5. The petitioner, who is present in Court, has been identified by his counsel as well as the I.O./, Delhi who is present in the Court. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by the I.O.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that he has entered into the aforementioned settlement out of his own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and the consequent proceedings are quashed. Affidavit of Respondent No.2 has been placed on record.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.25,000/- to be deposited with the *Delhi State Legal Services Authority* within a period of four weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the DSLSA for providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.

9. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the I.O. as well



as in Court.

10. A copy of this order be communicated to the Member Secretary, DSLSA for intimation.

11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

12. The Registry shall list the matter before this Court in case receipt of costs to be paid by the petitioners is not filed within the stipulated time period.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JANUARY 23, 2024

ga