



\$~42

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ O.M.P.(I) (COMM.) 203/2024, I.A. 31722/2024, I.A. 31723/2024  
M/S AURIONPRO SOLUTIONS LIMITED

..... Petitioner  
Through: Ms. Mansi Chatpalliwar, Mr. Pranav  
Proothi and Mr. Aditya Singh,  
Advocates.

versus  
GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents  
Through: Appearance not given.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**  
**12.06.2024**

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the Bank Guarantees in respect of which interim relief was sought under Section 9 has become infructuous as the Bank Guarantees have already been encashed. It is submitted that even though the MSA has been terminated and the Bank Guarantees encashed, but the other mutual obligations of the parties under the Contract still subsist which would be agitated by the petitioner before the learned Arbitrator and may be left open.
3. There are oral communications from the respondent that they are shifting back to the manual system of operation, while the petitioner had been providing Automatic Fair Collection System. Orally the petitioners



have been asked to stop continuing their services and to withdraw the staff, which the dispute shall be agitated before the learned Arbitrator.

4. Submissions made without prejudice to the rights and entitlements of the parties under the terminated Agreement.
5. The petition is permitted to be withdrawn.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA  
(VACATION JUDGE)**

**JUNE 12, 2024/va**