



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8602/2024**

VEER SINGH THROUGH POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli, Mr. Akshaya
Ganpath and Ms. Saumya Tiwari,
Advs.

versus

BUREAU OF IMMIGRATION & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, CGSC with
Ms. Pinky Pawar and Mr. Aakash
Pathak, Advs. for R-1, R-4 & R-5.
Mr. Arun Panwar, Adv. for R-2 & R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

07.06.2024

CM APPL. 35249/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 8602/2024

3. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

a) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, against Respondent No. 2/ Respondent No. 3 to urgently move a request before the Respondent No. 1/BOI for deletion of the quashed look out circular previously opened against the Petitioner;



b) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or, direction against the Respondent No. 1/BOI to update its records and to delete the look out circular previously opened against the Petitioner or to indicate the status of said look out circular previously as quashed;

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the Look Out Circular, which was issued against the petitioner, was quashed *vide* order dated 23.02.2024 passed in W.P. (C) 2629/2024 but the same has not been deleted from the records of immigration.
5. Issue notice. The learned counsel named above appearing on advance service accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
6. He invites the attention of the Court to the Annexure P-10 to submit that the steps have already been taken to intimate the concerned authorities with regard to the quashing of LOC.
7. The learned counsel appearing on advance service on behalf of the respondent nos.1, 4 & 5, on instructions, submits that the Bureau of Immigration has already deleted the entry concerning the said LOC issued against the present petitioner pursuant to the order of this Court.
8. In view of the above, no further orders are warranted.
9. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

**VIKAS MAHAJAN
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 7, 2024/dss