



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 8597/2024 and CM APPL. 35198/2024**

DIVYA MATTEY & ORS.Petitioner

Through: Mr.Sandeep Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Vishant Prakash, Adv.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Santosh Kumar Tripathi,
SC(Civil), GNCTD/DOE and
Mr.Utkarsh Singh, Adv.
Mr.Puneet Mittal, Sr.Adv with
Mr.R.P.Singh and Mr.Sakshi
Mendiratta, Advs for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **23.10.2024**

1. When the pleadings made in the instant writ petition are pointed out to the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, as to whether the same would conform to the judicial standards; he fairly submits that the language used in the instant writ petition could have been avoided. He, then, seeks leave to withdraw the instant petition with a liberty to file afresh.
2. Leave and liberty, as prayed, is granted.
3. Accordingly, the instant petition along with pending application is dismissed as withdrawn.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

OCTOBER 23, 2024/MJ