



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6537/2023**

LAXMAN AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Aditya Singh, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

**THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF
DELHI AND ANOTHER**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashneet Singh, APP for State
with WSI Soni Lal PS Nabi Karim,
Delhi.

Mr. Amit Kumar Dubey, Mr. Mayank
Besoya and Mr. Vijay Pratap Singh,
Advocates for respondent No.2 with
respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

01.04.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 0314/ 2019 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Nabi Karim, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 to 6 are in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Ashneet Singh, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case, petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the only complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have



settled their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre on 08.02.2021. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 17.10.2022 passed by the Family Court, Central, Tis Hazari Court, Delhi in HMA No. 1580/2022. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.5,50,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc. It is further submitted that out of the settled amount of Rs.5,50,000/-, remaining balance amount of Rs.1,37,500/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing number 783474 drawn on Bank of Maharashtra, a photocopy of which has been placed on record.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./ WSI Soni Lal PS Nabi Karim, Delhi.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners subject to encashment of the demand draft of Rs.1,37,500/- handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to encashment of aforesaid demand draft of Rs.1,37,500/-.



10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 1, 2024/*rd*