



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2590/2023**

ISHAN MATHUR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vipul Chaudhary and Mr. Sachin Anand, Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC (Crl.) for the State with Mr. Alok Sharma and Mr. Vasu Agarwal, Advocates with SI Deepak PS Kishangarh, Delhi.
Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

05.11.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 17/2022 registered under Sections 354D/509 IPC at Police Station Kishangarh, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner made fake social media profiles by using respondent No.2's name and photographs and made inappropriate comments and threatened to harm her, causing her mental distress.
3. Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, learned ASC (Crl.) for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. He further submits that the charge sheet has already been filed and that during the course of



investigation, Sections 66C and 67 IT Act were added against the petitioner. Learned ASC also submits that even though the parties have compromised, keeping in view the serious nature of the allegations and that the state machinery has already been put in motion, the petitioner be saddled with hefty costs.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR was registered due to a misunderstanding and with the intervention of the elders, close relatives and well-wishers, the parties have amicably settled their disputes before the Delhi Govt. Mediation & Conciliation Centre on 30.06.2023, a copy whereof has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, respondent No. 2/complainant is now left with no claims or grievances against the petitioners.

5. The petitioner is present in Court and is identified by his counsel as well as by the Investigating Officer/ SI Deepak PS Kishangarh, Delhi.

6. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and is identified by the Investigating Officer.

7. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the aforementioned settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9 The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served



in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to the payment of cost of Rs.50,000/- to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent No.2 by way of demand draft and/or banking transaction into the account of respondent No.2/father of respondent No.2, through the I.O., within a period of four weeks from today.

11. Proof evidencing payment of costs shall be filed with the Investigating Officer.

12. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

13. In case proof of deposit of cost is not filed within two weeks, the IO shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

NOVEMBER 5, 2024/rd