



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1844/2024**

MITHILA MURADA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. H.S. Phoolka, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nitin Ahlawat, Mr. Jai Shankar,
Mr. Nandita Rao, Ms. Surpreet Kaur,
Mr. Amit Kumar Dubey, Mr. Manoj
Kumar Makhija, Mr. Mayank
Baisoya, Mr. Prabhunath, Ms.
Nandini Rao, Mr. Kshitij Ahlawat,
Mr. Garvit, Mr. CP Rana, Mr.
Kanwar Abhay, Mr. Nikhil Malik,
Mr. Sarika Ahlawat, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC for the
State with Ms. Spriha Bhandari, Ms.
Charu Sharma, Mr. Arjit Sharma,
Mr. Vaibhav Vats, Advocates.
Mr. Prashant Mendiratta, Mr. Sanchit
Saini, Ms. Somyashree and Ms.
Tanya Bhutani, Advocates for R-2.
SI Deepak Panwar, PS IP Estate, SI
Kuldeep, Crime Branch.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER
23.07.2024

%

1. The Petitioner has approached this Court seeking quashing of the FIR No.101/2024 dated 26.03.2024 registered at Police Station I.P. Estate for offences under Sections 420/468/471 IPC.
2. After some arguments, learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner seeks



permission to withdraw the present petition.

3. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

4. In view of the fact that the present dispute is between the husband and the wife and looking into the fact that the Petitioner is a working lady who is being put to difficulty because of pendency of this investigation, this Court is inclined to direct the investigating agency to complete the investigation as expeditiously as possible, preferably within 40 days from today.

5. Since no meaningful arguments on the merits of the case have been made by the learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner, this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case and all the rights and contentions including those raised in this petition are left open for the Petitioner to be raised at an appropriate stage in case a chargesheet is filed against the Petitioner. It is also made clear that all the contentions of the Complainant are also left open.

6. For a meaningful investigation to come to a conclusion, it is needless to state that the Petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation.

7. With these observations, the petition is disposed of as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JULY 23, 2024

hsk