



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 257/2023**

BRIGADIER VIKRAM SINGH

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Surender Singh, Advocate

versus

DR ARADHANA SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Ms Shreya Munoth, Mr Ishaan Karki,
Ms Sitamsini Cherukumalli and Mr
Khush Aalam Singh, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

24.01.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM No. 4510/2024

1. This is an application filed on behalf of the appellant/husband via which the appellant/husband seeks to withdraw the appeal.
2. Although certain averments have been made as to why the appellant/husband wishes to withdraw the appeal, we are not inclined to make any observations *qua* the same as these aspects may have to be looked at by the Family Court.
3. We may note that Ms Shreya Mounoth, who appears on behalf of the respondent/wife, states that averments made in the application, on merits, such as those concerning the re-employment of the appellant as Brigadier

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 257/2023

Page 1 of 2



post-retirement and his release thereafter, will have no impact on the disposable income available with the appellant.

4. The prayer made in the application is allowed. The appeal shall stand dismissed as withdrawn in the aforesaid terms.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 257/2023

5. In view of the order passed in CM No. 4510/2024, nothing further needs to be said in the appeal.

6. The next date of hearing fixed i.e., 21.05.2024, shall stand cancelled.

7. The case papers shall stand consigned to the record.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 24, 2024/rt

Click here to check corrigendum, if any