



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. 164/2024 & CM APPL. 34244/2024, CM APPL. 34246/2024**

SH RAM GOPAL SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Rahul Sagar Sahay, Mr. Raghav Rajmalani, Mr. Prince Kr. Singh, Advocates**

versus

NARINDER KUMAR GUPTA

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Shekhar Dasi, Md. Talha, Mr. Ayush Dassi, Mr. Deepesh Kasana, Advocates**

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

24.09.2024

%

1. The counsel for the respondent stated that the respondent has expired on 03.09.2024. It is further stated that the possession of the tenanted premises had already been restored back to the respondent/landlord in execution petition no. 2440/2024 titled as **Narinder Kumar Gupta V Ram Gopal Sharma** as reflecting from the order dated 19.09.2024 passed by the court of Ms. Arjinder Kaur, ARC-02 (Central), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi (executing court). It is also stated in the order dated 19.09.2024 that the execution petition no.2440/2024 stands disposed of as satisfied.
2. As the possession of the tenanted premises is taken over by the respondent/landlord before the executing court, the present petition has



become infructuous in view of the observations made in the order dated 19.09.2024 passed in execution petition no. 2440/2024 titled as **Narinder Kumar Gupta V Ram Gopal Sharma**.

3. The present revision petition has become infructuous, hence dismissed along with pending applications.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

SEPTEMBER 24, 2024

j/am