



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4762/2024 & CRL.M.A. 17819/2024 (Exemption)**

MANGLU MALIK & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar and Mr. Patap D.,
Advocates alongwith Petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for the
State.
Insp. Arjun Singh, PS Krishna Nagar.
Mr. Manu Dhaka, Advocate for R-2
alongwith R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

31.05.2024

%

1. The present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of the FIR No. 9/2024, under Sections 420/467/468/471/506/120B/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Krishna Nagar.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the aforesaid proceedings parties have settled their dispute *vide* compromise cum settlement deed dated 15.05.2024 and in pursuance of the same respondent no. 2 has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against the petitioners. The copy of the aforesaid settlement deed dated 15.05.2024 is on record (Annexure P-2).
3. Petitioners and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as



the Investigating Officer, Insp. Arjun Singh, PS Krishna Nagar.

4. The complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

5. Learned APP for the State submits that investigation in the present FIR is pending and chargesheet has not been filed. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

7. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present the FIR No. 9/2024, under Sections 420/467/468/471/506/120B/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Krishna Nagar.

8. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 9/2024, under Sections 420/467/468/471/506/120B/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Krishna Nagar, is hereby quashed subject to cumulative cost of Rs.25,000/- to be paid by the petitioners with Delhi High Court Bar Association Employees Welfare Fund, within a period of 7 working days from today.



9. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
10. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 31, 2024/sn

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)