



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2106/2022**

VINAY BHUSHAN & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Mumtaz Ahmed, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC with
Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Mr. Ashvini Kumar and Ms.
Chavi Lazarus, Advocates with SI Parveen Kumar,
PS: Malviya Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

07.03.2024

%

1. Present petition has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking the following relief:-

“a. Issue the mandamus writ thereby directing the respondents no. 2 to file the preliminary inquiry report which should be made time bound and in case of delay exceed 7 days, with the causes of it reflected in the General Diary entry in accordance with the judgment of “Lalita Kumari Vs State of UP”;”

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioners seeks to withdraw the present petition as the petition is rendered infructuous, in view of the fact that the Petitioners have already taken recourse to the appropriate remedy under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C.

W.P.(CRL) 2106/2022

page 1 of 2



3. Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn making it clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and it is open to the learned Magistrate to decide the application in accordance with law and as per the facts and circumstances brought forth by the parties before the Court.

JYOTI SINGH, J

MARCH 07, 2024/shivam

W.P.(CRL) 2106/2022

page 2 of 2