



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **C.R.P. 76/2021 & CM APPL. 10628/2022 & CM APPL. 17660/2022**
SUDIP KOHLIPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vikas Tiwari, Mr. Kumar
Deepraj, Ms. Arushi Rathore and Mr.
Rachit Gupta, Advocates.

versus

SHARDA AGGARWAL & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. G.L.N.Murthy, Advocate for R-1.
Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, Standing
Counsel for MCD/R-2.
SI Mamta, P.S.Dabri for R-4,

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

06.11.2024

%

1. Learned counsel for the Revisionist seeks permission to withdraw the present Revision on the ground that it has become infructuous in view of the subsequent petitions which have been filed in Dwarka Court.
2. It is clarified that it shall be without prejudice to the right of the Revisionist to continue with his defences in the Execution Petition that have been filed subsequently.
3. Permitted to withdraw.
4. Revision Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2024

rk