



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 750/2019 & CM APPLs. 37345/2019, 37346/2019
NIKHA @ PRATAP & ANRAppellants

Through: None.

versus

NATHU SINGHRespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

21.10.2024

1. There is no appearance on behalf of the parties when the matter is taken up for hearing. It appears that the appellant has not appeared on several dates of hearing. By order dated 25.04.2022, Court notice was issued to learned counsel for the parties. Learned counsel for the appellant refused service stating that appellant has engaged another counsel. However, no *vakalatnama* of any other counsel has been filed.
2. The office report states that service upon the respondent was effected through his brother, but it was stated that the respondent has settled in the village and that the matter has been settled.
3. Due to non-appearance of the appellant despite notice, it appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the appeal.
4. The appeal, alongwith pending applications, is therefore dismissed for non-prosecution

PRATEEK JALAN, J

OCTOBER 21, 2024/'pv'/'