



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4083/2019 & CRL.M.A.33826/2019,
34585/2019, 5406/2021, 1073/2024**

ANOOP NATH & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Manoj V. George, Ms.
Shilpa Liza George, Mr.
K.M Vignesh Ram & Ms.
Chaahat Khanna, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP for
the State.
Mr. Ajay Digpaul, CGSC
with Mr. Kamal Digpaul
& Ms. Ishita Pathak, Advs
for R-2/ROC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

11.01.2024

%

1. At the outset, the learned counsel for the respondent points out that the Trial has already concluded and the petitioner has already been punished for contravention of offences under Section 92(5), 99 and 137(3) of the Companies Act, 2013.
2. He further submits that the case is listed today before the Trial Court for order on sentence and the maximum punishment as provided under the Act is the payment of fine of ₹50,000/-.
3. Considering that the trial has already concluded, this Court does not consider it apposite to pass any order in the present petition which was filed challenging the initiation of the proceedings under the Companies Act.
4. The petitioners are at liberty to take all grounds in case



they are advised to challenge the order passed by the learned Trial Court.

5. The petition is disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

JANUARY 11, 2024
“SK”