



\$~31 & 32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

31

+ W.P.(C) 8040/2024

RAJIB SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mahesh Srivastava and Mr. Vijay
Kumar Sharma, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Vivek Sharma, SPC with Ms.
Prernaa Singh, Mr. Rishab Dubey, GP
for R-1 to R-3.
SI Sarabarna Sarkar, SSB

32

+ W.P.(C) 8042/2024

SIDHARTHA KUMAR CHAKMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mahesh Srivastava and Mr. Vijay
Kumar Sharma, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sandeep Tyagi, SPC, Mr. Amit
Acharya, GP with Ms. Shobha Tyagi
and Mr. Surinder Kumar, Advocates
SI Sarabarna Sarkar, SSB

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

%

29.05.2024

CM APPL. 33054/2024 Ex in W.P.(C) 8040/2024



CM APPL. 33057/2024 Ex in W.P.(C) 8042/2024

Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 8040/2024 and W.P.(C) 8042/2024

1. As identical reliefs have been prayed for in these petitions in as much as the respondents should extend the benefit of the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of *Director (Admn. And HR) KPTCL & Ors. versus C.P. Mundinamani & Ors.* in Civil Appeal No. 2471/2023 decided on 11.04.2023, the same are decided by this common order.
2. Mr. Mahesh Shrivastava reiterates the prayers as sought in the writ petitions.
3. Senior Panel Counsel appear for the respondents state that each case is needed to be looked / considered on facts to ensure that the said case is covered by the judgment of the Supreme Court.
4. Noting the said submission(s) made, we dispose of these petitions with a direction to the respondents to consider the writ petitions as representations on behalf of the petitioners in terms of the judgment of the Supreme Court referred to above and dispose of the same within a period of eight weeks.
5. If the petitioners are entitled to the benefits as per the judgment of the Supreme Court, the same shall be released to them within a period of six weeks thereafter.
6. In the eventuality, the respondents are of the view that a petitioner(s) is not entitled to the benefits as sought, reasons thereof, shall be communicated to the said petitioner(s) in writing, and the said petitioner(s)



shall be at liberty to seek such remedy as available in accordance of law.

7. The petitions stand disposed of.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

MAY 29, 2024/ib