



\$~24, 27 and 43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

24.

+ W.P.(C) 8026/2024 & CM APPL. 33027/2024 Dir.

DEEPAK YADAV

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargawa,
Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Manju Meenu, SPC with Ms.
Vidhi Gupta, GP.

27.

+ W.P.(C) 8031/2024 & CM APPL. 33037/2024 Dir.

SHRAVAN KUMAR YADAV

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargawa,
Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Nidhi Banga, SPC with Mr. Raj
Kumar Maurya, GP with Mr. Nishant
Kumar, Advocate.

43

+ W.P.(C) 8071/2024 & CM APPL. 33272/2024 Dir.

SATYEDNRA KUMAR YADAV

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargawa,
Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents



Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

%

29.05.2024

1. The challenge in these petitions have been made to the order dated May 02, 2024, with a prayer for setting aside of the same with a mandamus to reinstate the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents draws our attention to page 21 of the petition to contend that petitioners have a remedy under Rule 28A of the BSF Rules 1969, and as such they should make a representation within a period of three months from today.
3. Mr. Bhargava, learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioners, instead of waiting three months, shall make the representation immediately within two weeks.
4. If that be so, on receipt of the same, respondents shall consider the representation in accordance with law, and pass appropriate orders. If the petitioners are aggrieved by the order to be passed by the respondents, liberty shall be with them to approach this Court in accordance with law.
5. The petitions stand disposed of with the aforesaid observations.
6. A copy of this order be placed in all the petitions.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

MAY 29, 2024/ib