



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 4535/2024, CRL.M.A. 17094/2024**

VIKASH AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amit Alok, Mr. Jitender Kumar,
Mr. Saurav Prakash, Mr. Pramod
Kumar and Mr. Gaurav Prakash,
Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Braham Parkash, P.S. Sultan
Puri.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

29.05.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 710/2016 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Sultanpuri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Jha, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes vide Settlement Agreement/MOU dated 24.04.2024, a



copy whereof has been placed on record. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 13.12.2016 passed by Ld. ADJ, Jhajjar, Haryana in HMA Case No. RBT-185/2015 (Reg No. HMA/0000336/2015). In terms of the settlement, petitioner No.1 has paid a sum of Rs.1,50,000/-, as full and final settlement, to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./SI Braham Parkash, P.S. Sultanpuri.

6. Complainant, is present in Court and has been identified by the I.O., states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 29, 2024/ga