



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4512/2024 & CRL. MA 17009/2024**

MR SOHAN SINGH AND ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. Surender Kumar Hooda, Mr. Anshul Dokhoria, Mr Pradeep Kumar and Mr. Dani Oja, Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State with SI Anjali Panwar PS KNK Marg, Delhi.
Ms. Anailu Hakung, Advocate for respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

29.05.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 0211/2019 registered under Sections 498-A/406/354/34 IPC at P.S. K.N.K Marg, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner no.1 (husband) and petitioner No.s 2-4 are the in laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim. He further states that charge-sheet has been filed.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that after ironing out their



differences the parties have settled their disputes and are happily living together since about four years.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2 are present in Court and have been identified by their counsels as well as by the IO/ SI Anjali Panwar, PS KNK Marg, Delhi. Respondent No. 2 confirms that she has been happily living with her husband i.e., petitioner since last four years and as of now, she has no complaint against her husband. She further states that she is giving this statement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also states that she has no objection if the present FIR and the consequent proceedings are quashed.

6. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of along with pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 29, 2024/rd