



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4507/2024 & CRL. MA 17001/2024

SHABUDIN & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Siddharth Chaturvedi and Mr.
Ankit Goel, Advocates with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Mayank Istwal PS
Kalyanpuri, Delhi.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

29.05.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 580/2014 registered under Sections 498-A/406/354/506/34 IPC at P.S. Kalyanpuri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 and her husband. The petitioner Nos. 1 to 5 are parents-in-law, brothers-in-law and sister-in-law of the complainant respectively.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim. It is stated that charge-sheet has been filed against the petitioner Nos. 1 to 4.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes vide settlement dated 22.02.2024. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.3,50,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioners to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, mehar amount, iddat expenses, permanent alimony, etc. It is further submitted that out of the settled amount of Rs.3,50,000/-, remaining balance amount of Rs.1,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing number 748989 drawn on State Bank of India. It is further stated that Salman, the husband of the respondent No.2 has already expired and a death certificate in this regard has also been placed on record.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by the I.O./ SI Mayank Istwal PS Kalyanpuri, Delhi.

6. Respondent No. 2 who is also present in Court and is identified by the I.O., states that she has settled her disputes with petitioners of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners subject to encashment of the demand draft of Rs.1,00,000/- handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to encashment of aforesaid demand draft of Rs.1 lac.



10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of along with pending application.

MAY 29, 2024/rd

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J