



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision: 31.05.2024

+ **CRL.M.C. 4486/2024**

MANISH AND ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. R. Vasudev, Mr. Rahul Nagpal,
Ms. Pooja Gupta, Mr. Akash Gupta
and Mr. Vaseem Khan, Advocates
with Petitioners-in-person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with SI Mahendra Patel, PS: Narela.
Mr. Gopi Chand, Mr. Apurva Pandey,
and Mr. Satender Yadav, Advocates
for R-2 with R-2-in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

%

J U D G M E N T

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)

CRL.M.A. 16954/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 4486/2024

1. Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 1131/2018, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, registered at PS: Aman Vihar and proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for



respondent No. 2 along with respondent No. 2 appear on advance notice and accept notice

3. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu rites and ceremonies on 24.02.2016. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 started living separately. On complaint of respondent No. 2, present FIR was registered on 12.11.2018.

4. The disputes are stated to have been amicably settled between the parties in terms of Settlement Deed dated 13.07.2022. The marriage between petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 has been dissolved by decree of divorce by way of mutual consent under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act vide judgment dated 23.03.2023.

5. Balance amount of Rs. 75,000/- has been paid to respondent No. 2 today through DD No. 000300 dated 28.03.2024 drawn on AU Small Finance Bank, Janakpuri, Delhi Branch in favour of respondent No. 2.

6. Learned APP for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, he has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

7. Petitioners and respondent No. 2 are present in person and have been identified by SI Mahendra Patel, PS: Narela. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been



amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the case pending. It would be nothing but an abuse of the process of Court. Consequently, FIR No. 1131/2018, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, registered at PS: Aman Vihar and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned trial court for information.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.

MAY 31, 2024/R