



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7936/2024 & CM APPL. 32738/2024**

SWASTIK SALES CORPORATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Arora, Ms. Reeva Chugh
Arora and Mr. Divya Ratna Singh,
Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. R. Ramachandran, Advocate for
R-1/UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

30.09.2024

%

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition impugning an Order-in-Original dated 26.03.2024 ((hereafter *the impugned order*) passed by the adjudicating authority. The impugned order was passed pursuant to a show cause notice dated 27.05.2011.

2. Mr. Ramachandran, learned counsel for the respondent states, on instructions, that there is error in the identity of the petitioner, therefore, the show cause notice dated 27.05.2011 as well as impugned order was incorrectly issued against the petitioner. Neither the show cause notice nor the impugned order is, therefore, not directed against the petitioner.

3. In view of the said statement, which is taken on record, no further orders are required to be passed except to note that the impugned order does



not affect the petitioner.

4. The present petition is, accordingly, disposed of.
5. Pending application is also disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 30, 2024

ZP

Click here to check corrigendum, if any