



\$~80 & 85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7845/2024

CASIO INDIA COMPANY PRIVATE

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kamal Sawhney, Mr. Puru
M., Mr. Arun Bhaduria, Advs.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, CIRCLE
4 2 & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Prashant Meharchandani,
SSC with Mr. Akshat Singh,
Ms. Ritika Vohra, Mr. Utkarsh
Kandpal, Advs.

85

+ W.P.(C) 7923/2024

CASIO INDIA COMPANY PRIVATE

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kamal Sawhney, Mr. Puru
M., Mr. Arun Bhaduria, Advs.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, CIRCLE
4 2 & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Prashant Meharchandani,
SSC with Mr. Akshat Singh,
Ms. Ritika Vohra, Mr. Utkarsh
Kandpal, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR

KAURAV

ORDER

28.05.2024

%

1. The solitary grievance of the writ petitioner is of the



respondents having failed to give appeal effect order and to process pending refunds.

2. Mr. Meharchandani, learned counsel appearing for the respondents, on instructions states that subject to due verification and all contentions on merits being kept open, the grievance of the writ petitioner shall be duly examined and an appropriate order passed within a period of six weeks from today. The statement so made is recorded and accepted.

3. The writ petitions shall stand disposed of.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J.

MAY 28, 2024/neha