



\$~11

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ RC.REV. 153/2024, CM APPL. 32564/2024 & CM APPL.  
36434/2024

KUSUM AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. R. Venkatraman, and Ms. Isha  
Kishore Jha, Advocates

versus

CHANDER KALA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ankur Mahindro, Ms. Vishali  
Sivagnanam and Mr. Soumil  
Gonsalves, Advocates

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN**

**ORDER**

**20.09.2024**

%

1. Mr. Ankur Mahindro, Advocate for the respondents stated that the possession of the tenanted premises is restored back to the respondents in execution of warrant of possession as such the present petition is not maintainable.
2. Mr. R. Venkatraman, Advocate for the petitioners stated that liberty be granted to the petitioner for initiating appropriate legal proceedings for claiming back the possession of the tenanted premises.
3. This Court has already taken the view in the judgment dated 26.07.2024 passed in RC.REV. 284/2023 titled as **Om Prakash Ashok Kumar & Sons V Shri Ajay Khurana** that if the possession of the tenanted premises is restored back to the landlord in execution of warrant of possession, then the revision petition is not maintainable. Accordingly, the



present revision petition, along with pending applications, is dismissed being infructuous.

4. However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to initiate appropriate legal proceedings for claiming the possession of the tenanted premises in accordance with law.

**DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J**

**SEPTEMBER 20, 2024**

*sk/am*