



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 436/2024**

SIDHARTH SAWHNEY

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Akshay Bhatia, Adv.**

versus

M/S FRENCH BAKERY PVT LTD AND ORSRespondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

16.08.2024

%

I.A. 36660/2024

1. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.
2. The petitioner shall file legible and clearer copies of exempted documents, compliant with practice rules before the next date of hearing.
3. The application is disposed of.

I.A. 36659/2024

4. This is an application filed by the petitioner seeking modification of the order dated 19.07.2024 whereby this Court had extended the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal by a period of 2 months.
5. It is stated that in para 2 of the order dated 19.07.2024, it has been wrongly observed that final arguments have been concluded.
6. It is stated that pleadings and evidence in the arbitral proceedings have concluded and the arguments are to commence.
7. The application is of a technical nature and no reply is required.
8. The proof of service has also been filed.



9. For the said reasons, the application is allowed and para 2 of the order of 19.07.2024 is modified and the phrase ‘final arguments were concluded’ will now read as ‘evidence is concluded and the arguments are about to start’.

10. The application is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

AUGUST 16, 2024/DM

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)