



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 449/2024**

SANJAY GOYAL

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, Mr. Ronak Gupta
and Ms. Nazia Parveen, Advocates

versus

AMIT GOYAL

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Kakkar, Ms.
Rachna Goyal, Mr Prateek Kumar,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **02.08.2024**

I.A. 35309/2024 (under Order VII Rule 11 CPC, 1908 on behalf of the defendant)

1. This is an application filed by the defendant seeking rejection of the plaint.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant/defendant states that the plaintiff has failed to comply with the order dated 28.05.2024 passed by this Court.
 - 2.1 He states that the plaintiff had sought enlargement of time to pay the Court fee vide I.A. 30649/2024. He states that the time to pay the Court fee was enlarged by eight (8) weeks and the said period has since expired on 23.07.2024. He states that, however, as per the record, the plaintiff has failed to deposit the Court fee during the extended period.
3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff confirms that the Court fees has not



been deposited within the extended time.

4. In view of the above, the suit stands rejected for non-payment of Court fee in terms of Order VII Rule 11 (c) of CPC.
5. Pending applications stand disposed of.
6. All future dates stand cancelled.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

AUGUST 2, 2024/msh/sk/rhc