



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5968/2023**

MOHD. RAHIM

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manoj Kumar Badsawal, Advocate
with petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI) & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State with
SI Anil Kumar

Ms.Geeta Rani, Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

03.04.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.18/2018 registered under Section 363 IPC at P.S. Pandav Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The allegations in the present FIR pertain to the alleged offence of kidnapping of the daughter of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim. Further, the chargesheet has been filed only in the aforesaid section.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also drawn the attention of the Court to the statement made by the victim before learned MM, Mahila Court. Karkardooma Courts, Delhi wherein she has stated that she had gone



voluntarily with the petitioner and no harm has been caused to her. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and that the parties, with the intervention of common friends, well-wishers and other respectable members of the society, have entered into a settlement vide Memorandum of Understanding / Compromise Deed / Agreement dated 02.08.2023.

5. The petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding / Compromise Deed / Agreement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 3, 2024

na