



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 5957/2023, CRL.M.A. 22356/2023**

RAKESH KUMAR Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rounak Nayak, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

% **ORDER**
29.04.2024

1. Learned APP for State submits that petitioner was only served with notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. for recording of his statement. He, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is not an accused in the commission of offence.
2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the petition.
3. Leave, as prayed for, is granted and the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, in case the petitioner remains aggrieved of any further action, he shall be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 29, 2024

ga