



\$~14.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 239/2023 with CM APPL. 42575/2023, CM**
APPL. 42576/2023, CM APPL. 42577/2023

CHETALI SHARMA

Through: Mr Vaibhav
(DHCLSC).

.....Appellant
Vats, Advocate

versus

TARUN SHARMA

Through: None

.....Respondent

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

19.09.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Mr Vaibhav Vats, Advocate, who is mandated to appear on behalf of the appellant by the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee, says that he has received an e-mail dated 23.08.2024 from the appellant instructing him to withdraw the appeal.

1.1 A hard copy of the said e-mail has been placed before us.

2. In view of what is stated in the e-mail, leave is granted to Mr Vats to withdraw the appeal on behalf of the appellant.

3. Accordingly, the appeal stand dismissed as well.

4. For the purposes of good order and record, the Registry will scan and upload the aforementioned e-mail so that it remains embedded in the case file.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2024/ PB

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)